

Avoiding Common Anesthesia Errors Lippincott Will Ebook

Avoiding Common Anesthesia Errors Lippincott Will

Ensuring patient safety during anesthesia administration is a top priority for healthcare professionals. **Avoiding common anesthesia errors Lippincott Will** is crucial not only to enhance patient outcomes but also to uphold the standards of medical practice. Errors in anesthesia can lead to severe complications, including nerve damage, allergic reactions, or even fatality. This comprehensive guide explores the most prevalent anesthesia errors, their causes, and best practices to prevent them, empowering clinicians with the knowledge to provide safe, effective anesthesia care.

Understanding Common Anesthesia Errors

Before delving into prevention strategies, it is vital to recognize the types of errors that are most commonly encountered in anesthesia practice.

Types of Anesthesia Errors

- **Medication Errors:** Incorrect drug selection, dosage, or administration route.
- **Airway Management Errors:** Difficult airway management, improper intubation, or failure to confirm tube placement.
- **Monitoring Errors:** Failure to monitor vital signs adequately, leading to delayed recognition of adverse events.
- **Allergic Reactions and Anaphylaxis:** Failure to identify patient allergies or to prepare for potential reactions.
- **Preparation and Equipment Failures:** Malfunction or improper setup of anesthesia equipment.

Causes of Common Anesthesia Errors

Understanding the root causes helps in designing effective prevention strategies.

Contributing Factors

- **Communication Failures:** Poor team communication can result in medication mix-ups or

incorrect procedures.

- **Inadequate Training:** Lack of sufficient knowledge or experience increases error risk.
- **Poor Documentation:** Incomplete or inaccurate records can lead to medication errors or mismanagement.
- **Fatigue and Stress:** Long shifts and high-pressure situations impair judgment and attention to detail.
- **Equipment Malfunctions:** Outdated or poorly maintained equipment increases risk during procedures.

Strategies to Prevent Common Anesthesia Errors

Implementing evidence-based practices and fostering a culture of safety are essential components of minimizing anesthesia errors.

Preoperative Planning and Patient Assessment

- **Thorough Medical History:** Identify allergies, previous adverse reactions, and comorbidities.
- **Medication Reconciliation:** Confirm patient medications and avoid potential interactions.
- **Physical Examination:** Assess airway, vascular access, and other relevant factors.

Standardized Protocols and Checklists

- **Preoperative Checklists:** Use tools like the WHO Surgical Safety Checklist to ensure all steps are completed.
- **Medication Verification:** Double-check drug labels, doses, and administration routes.
- **Equipment Checks:** Confirm functionality and proper setup of anesthesia machines and monitors before use.

Optimal Communication and Teamwork

- **SBAR Technique:** Use Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation for clear communication.
- **Briefings and Debriefings:** Conduct team huddles before and after procedures to discuss plans and issues.
- **Clear Role Definition:** Assign specific responsibilities to team members to avoid overlaps or omissions.

Monitoring and Vigilance During Anesthesia

- **Continuous Monitoring:** Use pulse oximetry, capnography, ECG, blood pressure, and temperature monitoring.
- **Regular Assessment:** Frequently reassess patient status and adjust anesthesia parameters accordingly.
- **Alarm Management:** Respond promptly to monitor alarms and troubleshoot equipment issues.

Medication Safety Measures

- **Labeling and Storage:** Clearly label all medications and store them systematically.
- **Preparation in Safe Environments:** Prepare medications in designated areas away from distractions.
- **Double-Check Protocols:** Implement a two-person check for high-risk medications.

Airway Management Best Practices

- **Preoperative Airway Assessment:** Evaluate airway anatomy to anticipate difficulties.
- **Use of Advanced Devices:** Employ video laryngoscopes or fiberoptic scopes when indicated.
- **Confirmation of Tube Placement:** Verify placement with capnography, auscultation, and chest movement observation.
- **Preparedness for Emergency Airway Situations:** Have difficult airway carts and rescue devices readily available.

Handling Allergic Reactions and Anaphylaxis

- **Preoperative Allergy Screening:** Document known allergies and sensitivities.
- **Availability of Emergency Drugs:** Keep epinephrine, antihistamines, and corticosteroids accessible.
- **Training in Emergency Response:** Regularly train staff on recognizing and managing anaphylaxis.

Postoperative Care and Error Management

Even with preventive measures, errors can occasionally occur. Proper postoperative protocols can mitigate adverse outcomes.

Postoperative Monitoring

- **Vital Signs Surveillance:** Continue monitoring until patient stabilizes.
- **Neurological and Respiratory Assessment:** Detect early signs of complications such as nerve injury or respiratory depression.
- **Documentation and Reporting:** Record any incidents and communicate with the care team for ongoing management.

Root Cause Analysis and Continuous Improvement

- **Incident Review:** Analyze errors to understand contributing factors.
- **Feedback and Education:** Provide ongoing training based on lessons learned.
- **Policy Updates:** Revise protocols to incorporate best practices and prevent recurrence.

Creating a Culture of Safety in Anesthesia Practice

Promoting a safety-oriented environment is fundamental to reducing errors.

Key Elements of a Safety Culture

- **Open Communication:** Encourage team members to speak up about concerns without fear of retribution.
- **Leadership Commitment:** Leaders should prioritize safety, allocate resources, and model best practices.
- **Continuous Education:** Regular training sessions, simulation exercises, and updates on new guidelines.
- **Reporting Systems:** Implement non-punitive incident reporting mechanisms to identify and address errors promptly.

Conclusion

Preventing anesthesia errors is a multifaceted process that involves meticulous preparation, effective communication, vigilant monitoring, and continuous learning. **Avoiding common anesthesia errors Lippincott Will** requires adherence to standardized protocols, proactive identification of risk factors, and fostering a culture that prioritizes patient safety. By integrating these strategies into daily practice, anesthesia providers can significantly reduce the incidence of errors, improve patient outcomes, and uphold the highest standards of care.

Remember, safety is a collective responsibility. Every team member plays a vital role in maintaining vigilance and ensuring the well-being of patients under anesthesia. Through ongoing education, strict adherence to protocols, and a culture of openness, healthcare professionals can make

anesthesia safer for everyone.

Avoiding Common Anesthesia Errors Lippincott Will: A Critical Review and Guide for Clinicians

In the high-stakes environment of anesthesia practice, avoiding common anesthesia errors is paramount for ensuring patient safety and optimal surgical outcomes. As highlighted in Lippincott Williams & Wilkins' authoritative texts, these errors, though often preventable, remain a significant concern across various clinical settings. This article aims to provide a comprehensive, analytical overview of the most prevalent anesthesia errors, their underlying causes, and evidence-based strategies for prevention. By understanding these pitfalls and implementing best practices, anesthesiologists and perioperative teams can enhance safety protocols and minimize adverse events.

Understanding the Scope of Anesthesia Errors

Anesthesia errors encompass a broad spectrum of mistakes that can occur before, during, or after anesthesia administration. They can lead to serious complications such as hypoxia, hemodynamic instability, nerve injury, or even death. The World Health Organization estimates that anesthesia-related adverse events are a leading cause of preventable mortality in surgical care, emphasizing the necessity for vigilance and continuous quality improvement.

Lippincott's resources shed light on the multifactorial nature of these errors, often involving communication failures, inadequate training, equipment malfunction, or misjudgment. Recognizing the common types of errors and their root causes forms the foundation for effective prevention strategies.

Common Types of Anesthesia Errors

Understanding the specific categories of errors helps clinicians target their prevention efforts more effectively. The most frequently encountered anesthesia errors include:

1. Medication Errors

- Wrong drug selection: Administering an incorrect medication due to similar packaging or labeling.
- Wrong dose: Over- or under-dosing stemming from calculation mistakes or misreading labels.
- Timing errors: Administering drugs at inappropriate times, affecting efficacy or safety.
- Omission errors: Failing to administer a needed medication, such as antibiotics or analgesics.

2. Airway Management Errors

- Difficult intubation leading to hypoxia.
- Esophageal intubation due to misidentification of the airway.
- Inadequate ventilation or oxygenation.

3. Monitoring and Equipment Failures

- Malfunction or misinterpretation of vital signs.
- Equipment failure (e.g., ventilators, monitors).
- Failure to detect deteriorating patient status promptly.

4. Patient Identification and Consent Errors

- Operating on the wrong patient or wrong site.
- Lack of informed consent or misunderstanding of patient allergies.

5. Communication Failures

- Poor intra-team communication leading to omissions or errors.
- Inadequate handoff procedures.

Root Causes and Contributing Factors

Analyzing the root causes of anesthesia errors reveals multiple interrelated factors:

- Human Factors: Fatigue, cognitive overload, inexperience, or communication breakdowns.
- Systemic Issues: Poorly designed workflows, inadequate protocols, or substandard equipment.
- Environmental Factors: Distractions in the operating room, noise, or interruptions.
- Training and Education Gaps: Insufficient simulation training or ongoing education.

Lippincott emphasizes that addressing these factors requires a multifaceted approach, combining individual vigilance with systemic reforms.

Strategies for Preventing Common Anesthesia Errors

A proactive, evidence-based approach is essential for minimizing errors. The following strategies, supported by Lippincott's guidelines and current literature, are fundamental.

1. Implementing Effective Checklists and Protocols

- Use standardized preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative checklists.
- Ensure adherence to the WHO Surgical Safety Checklist and anesthesia-specific protocols.
- Incorporate double-check systems for drug preparation and administration.

2. Enhancing Communication and Teamwork

- Promote closed-loop communication to confirm understanding.
- Conduct preoperative briefings and postoperative debriefings.
- Utilize structured communication tools like SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation).

3. Accurate Patient Identification and Verification

- Verify patient identity using at least two identifiers.
- Confirm surgical site and procedure with the entire team.
- Cross-check medication labels and dosages prior to administration.

4. Optimizing Equipment and Monitoring

- Regular maintenance and calibration of anesthesia machines and monitors.
- Use of alarms and alerts to prompt timely intervention.
- Maintain a readily available backup plan for equipment failure.

5. Continuous Education and Simulation Training

- Engage in regular simulation exercises for managing rare but critical events.
- Keep updated with evolving guidelines and best practices.
- Foster a culture of safety and openness to reporting errors or near-misses.

6. Addressing Human Factors

- Limit shift lengths and ensure adequate rest to reduce fatigue.
- Encourage mindfulness and situational awareness.

- Promote a non-punitive environment for error reporting and learning.

Technological and System-Based Interventions

Advances in technology have significantly contributed to error reduction. These include:

- Electronic Medical Records (EMRs): Improved documentation and real-time data access.
- Barcode Medication Administration: Ensures correct drug delivery.
- Smart Infusion Pumps: Reduce dosing errors with programmed limits.
- Automated Anesthesia Delivery Systems: Enhance precision and consistency.

However, reliance solely on technology is insufficient. Proper training and vigilance remain crucial to prevent overdependence.

Monitoring and Learning from Errors

Creating a culture of safety involves not only preventing errors but also effectively managing them when they occur:

- Incident Reporting Systems: Encourage transparent and non-punitive reporting of errors and near-misses.
- Root Cause Analysis (RCA): Investigate incidents thoroughly to identify systemic issues.
- Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI): Regular audits and feedback loops to refine protocols.

Lippincott advocates for integrating these practices into routine clinical governance to foster ongoing improvements.

Special Considerations in High-Risk Populations

Certain patient groups are more vulnerable to anesthesia errors:

- Pediatric Patients: Require precise dosing and specialized equipment.
- Elderly Patients: Increased sensitivity to medications and comorbidities.
- Patients with Allergies or Comorbidities: Need meticulous preoperative assessment and documentation.

Tailored strategies, including dedicated checklists and staff training, are essential to mitigate risks in these groups.

Conclusion: A Commitment to Safety and Excellence

Avoiding common anesthesia errors demands a comprehensive, multidisciplinary effort rooted in a culture of safety, continuous education, and systemic improvement. As emphasized in Lippincott Williams & Wilkins' authoritative texts, clinicians must stay vigilant, adhere to established protocols, leverage technology wisely, and foster open communication within the perioperative team. By doing so, they can significantly reduce preventable adverse events, enhance patient outcomes, and uphold the highest standards of anesthesia practice.

Ultimately, preventing errors is not solely a matter of individual competence but a shared responsibility that requires ongoing commitment, reflection, and adaptation to emerging challenges. Through concerted efforts, the goal of safe, effective, and patient-centered anesthesia care is achievable.

QuestionAnswer What are the most common anesthesia errors highlighted in Lippincott Williams & Wilkins resources? The most common errors include medication dosing mistakes, airway management failures, wrong-site anesthesia, and communication breakdowns among the surgical team. How can preoperative assessments help prevent anesthesia errors according to Lippincott Williams & Wilkins? Thorough preoperative assessments identify patient-specific risks and ensure correct medication and equipment preparation, reducing the likelihood of errors during anesthesia administration. What role does team communication play in avoiding anesthesia mistakes as per Lippincott Williams & Wilkins? Effective team communication, including standardized handoff protocols and the use of checklists, is crucial for preventing misunderstandings and ensuring all team members are aware of patient details and anesthesia plans. Are there specific checklists recommended by Lippincott Williams & Wilkins to minimize anesthesia errors? Yes, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins recommends utilizing standardized checklists like the WHO Surgical Safety Checklist to verify equipment, medications, and patient identity before anesthesia induction. How does proper equipment calibration and maintenance contribute to avoiding anesthesia errors? Regular calibration and maintenance of anesthesia machines and monitoring devices ensure accurate readings and proper functioning, thereby reducing the risk of technical errors during procedures. What strategies does Lippincott Williams & Wilkins suggest for improving anesthesia safety in high-risk patients? Strategies include meticulous planning, increased monitoring, readiness for airway management challenges, and involving experienced anesthesia providers to mitigate risks in high-risk populations. How important is ongoing staff education and training in preventing anesthesia errors according to Lippincott Williams & Wilkins? Continuous education and simulation training keep staff updated on best practices, new protocols, and error prevention techniques, significantly reducing the likelihood of anesthesia-related mistakes.

Related keywords: anesthesia safety, surgical complications, anesthesia monitoring, anesthesia standards, patient safety, anesthesia protocols, anesthesia errors prevention, perioperative care, anesthetic complications, clinical guidelines

A Concise History Of The Common Law

A Concise History of the Common Law

The common law is a fundamental legal system that has shaped the legal frameworks of many countries, particularly those with roots in England. Its development reflects centuries of judicial decisions, societal changes, and legislative reforms. Understanding the history of the common law provides valuable insight into how modern legal systems function and evolve. This article offers a comprehensive overview of the origins, evolution, and modern significance of the common law.

Origins of the Common Law

Early Medieval England

The roots of the common law trace back to the early medieval period in England, around the 9th and 10th centuries. During this time, England was a patchwork of local customs and laws, with each region applying its own rules. This fragmentation often led to inconsistent judicial decisions, creating challenges for fairness and stability.

The Role of Royal Authority

King William the Conqueror's Norman invasion in 1066 marked a pivotal point in unifying English law. Following the conquest, William sought to centralize authority and establish a uniform legal system to consolidate his rule. He appointed royal judges who traveled across the kingdom, applying royal justice consistently.

Development of Royal Courts

Over time, royal courts became the primary institutions for administering justice. These courts began to develop a body of precedents—that is, judicial decisions that serve as authoritative guides for future cases—laying the groundwork for the common law.

The Evolution of the Common Law

Growth of Judicial Precedent

A core feature of the common law is the doctrine of stare decisis, meaning "to stand by things decided." This principle emphasizes consistency and predictability in legal rulings.

- Judges looked to previous cases to decide new disputes.
- Over time, this created a coherent and gradually expanding body of law.

Magna Carta and Legal Reforms

In 1215, the Magna Carta was signed, limiting royal authority and recognizing certain legal rights. While initially a political document, it influenced the development of legal principles such as due process and the rule of law.

Common Law and Equity

As disputes grew more complex, rigid adherence to common law sometimes led to unfair results. To address this, courts of equity emerged in the late Middle Ages, providing remedies based on fairness rather than strict legal rules. Eventually, the common law and equity systems were merged, creating a more flexible and just legal framework.

Key Developments in the Medieval and Early Modern Periods

Expansion of Legal Principles

During the 13th and 14th centuries, English law expanded to cover new areas such as contracts, torts, and property law. Notable developments include:

- Refinement of property rights.
- Development of the law of contracts, emphasizing promises and obligations.
- Recognition of torts, addressing civil wrongs and damages.

Legal Commentaries and Texts

Prominent legal scholars, like Sir Edward Coke and William Blackstone, authored influential commentaries:

- Sir Edward Coke's reports helped formalize common law principles.
- William Blackstone's Commentaries on the Laws of England (1765-1769) became a foundational text, shaping legal thought for generations.

Colonial Spread of Common Law

As Britain expanded its empire, the common law was exported to colonies such as the United

States, Canada, Australia, and India. These jurisdictions adapted and developed their own legal systems based on English common law principles.

The Common Law in Modern Times

Reform and Codification

In the 19th and 20th centuries, many countries undertook legal reforms to simplify and codify laws:

- Introduction of statutes to supplement or replace common law rules.
- Development of comprehensive legal codes, such as the Civil Code in France and the German Bürgerliches Gesetzbuch (BGB).

Despite this, the common law remains vital, especially in areas like contracts, torts, and property law.

Common Law Today

Modern common law systems are characterized by:

- Judicial discretion and case-by-case analysis.
- Use of precedent to ensure consistency.
- Integration with statutory law, which often overrides or complements common law principles.

Courts continue to interpret and evolve legal principles through decisions that respond to contemporary societal needs.

Global Influence

The common law's principles influence legal systems worldwide. Countries such as the United States, Canada, Australia, and many former British colonies rely heavily on common law doctrines, while integrating local statutes and customs.

Significance of the Common Law

Flexibility and Adaptability

One of the key strengths of the common law is its ability to adapt over time. Judicial decisions can respond to new situations without waiting for legislative action, allowing the law to evolve

organically.

Foundation for Legal Reasoning

The common law provides a framework for legal reasoning based on precedent, principles, and case analysis, fostering consistency and fairness.

Influence on Modern Legal Systems

Its legacy persists in:

- Legal doctrines and principles still in use today.
- The development of legal institutions and procedures.
- Legal education and scholarly research.

Conclusion

The history of the common law is a testament to the dynamic interplay between judicial decisions, societal changes, and legislative reforms. From its medieval origins to its modern application across the globe, the common law continues to shape the administration of justice in numerous jurisdictions. Its enduring principles of precedent, fairness, and adaptability ensure its relevance in addressing contemporary legal challenges. Understanding this rich history not only enriches our knowledge of law but also highlights the importance of judicial evolution in fostering justice and stability in society.

A Concise History of the Common Law

The evolution of the common law is a story that traces back over a millennium, shaping the legal systems of many countries, notably the United Kingdom and its former colonies. Rooted in medieval England, the common law's development reflects a blend of judicial decisions, legislative acts, and societal changes. This article explores the origins, significant milestones, and modern adaptations of the common law, providing a comprehensive yet accessible overview of this foundational legal tradition.

Origins of the Common Law: Medieval Foundations

The Anglo-Saxon Legal Landscape

Before the Norman Conquest of 1066, England's legal framework was fragmented, primarily consisting of customary laws practiced by local communities. These customary laws varied widely

across regions and were often unwritten, relying on local traditions and informal judgments. The lack of a unified legal system meant that justice was inconsistent, and disputes were often settled through local customs or royal intervention.

The Norman Conquest and Centralization

The Norman Conquest marked a turning point. William the Conqueror introduced a more centralized authority, which laid the groundwork for the development of a unified legal system. He established royal courts and appointed royal officials to administer justice uniformly, replacing disparate local customs with royal authority.

Early Royal Courts and Common Law Formation

During the 12th century, royal courts such as the Curia Regis (King's Court) became the primary venues for dispensing justice. These courts began to develop consistent legal principles, gradually replacing local customs with standardized rules. This process led to the emergence of a body of law that was common across the realm—the "common law."

The term "common law" initially referred to laws common to all of England, as opposed to local or customary laws. It was characterized by its reliance on judicial decisions—precedents—that provided consistency and predictability.

The Development and Principles of the Common Law

Case Law and Judicial Precedent

A cornerstone of the common law system is the doctrine of stare decisis, meaning "to stand by things decided." Under this principle, courts follow previous judicial decisions when ruling on similar cases. This reliance on precedent ensures consistency and helps law evolve gradually over time.

In practice, judges examined past decisions to resolve current disputes, creating a body of case law that grew with each ruling. This method contrasted with civil law systems, which rely heavily on codified statutes.

Legal Doctrines and Notable Cases

Throughout the medieval period, several legal doctrines emerged, shaping the common law:

- The Writ System: Formal written commands initiated lawsuits, providing procedural consistency.
- The Development of Tort Law: Cases involving personal injury and negligence laid the

foundations for modern tort law.

- Property and Contract Law: Judicial decisions clarified rights over land and commercial agreements.

Notable cases, such as *Donoghue v. Stevenson* (1932), established principles like negligence, demonstrating how judicial reasoning influences broader legal doctrines.

The Role of Legal Scholars and Commentators

Legal scholars, such as Sir Edward Coke in the 17th century, contributed to the development and interpretation of the common law. Their writings helped clarify legal principles and influenced future judicial decisions.

The Expansion and Formalization of the Common Law

The Magna Carta and Limitations on Royal Power

The 1215 Magna Carta was a pivotal document that limited royal authority and recognized certain legal rights, laying the groundwork for constitutional principles within the common law tradition. It emphasized the importance of lawful due process, influencing subsequent legal developments.

The Establishment of Parliament and Statutory Law

While the common law was primarily judge-made law, the emergence of Parliament in the 13th century introduced statutory law—laws enacted by legislative bodies. Over time, statutes supplemented and sometimes superseded common law principles, leading to a dual legal system that coexists today.

The Growth of Legal Institutions

Legal institutions such as the Court of Common Pleas, the King's Bench, and later, the Court of Appeals, formalized judicial processes. These courts handled specific types of cases and contributed to the system's consistency and authority.

The Common Law in Modern Times

Adaptation to Societal Changes

From the Industrial Revolution onwards, the common law faced new societal challenges—industrialization, urbanization, and technological advancements. Courts adapted by developing new doctrines and refining existing ones to address issues like corporate liability,

intellectual property, and digital privacy.

Influence of Equity and Statutes

To mitigate rigidities in the common law, courts developed the doctrine of equity, allowing for more flexible remedies such as injunctions and specific performance. Legislation also played a significant role, with statutes like the Law of Property Act 1925 modernizing property law.

The Common Law Today: A Dynamic System

Today, the common law remains a living system, continuously evolving through judicial decisions, legislative amendments, and societal values. Its principles underpin the legal frameworks of many nations, especially those with historical ties to England, such as the United States, Canada, Australia, and India.

Conclusion: The Legacy and Future of the Common Law

The history of the common law is a testament to the adaptive and resilient nature of this legal tradition. From its humble beginnings rooted in local customs, it has grown into a sophisticated system balancing precedent, legislation, and equitable principles. As society continues to change, the common law will likely remain a vital component of legal development, demonstrating its ability to evolve while maintaining continuity with its historical roots.

In understanding this history, we gain insight into how legal principles shape societal structures and individual rights—a story that continues to unfold in courts and legislatures around the world.

Question What is the common law and how did it originate? The common law is a body of legal principles developed through judicial decisions and customs in England, originating in the medieval period around the 12th century, and has since influenced many legal systems worldwide. **Answer** How did the common law evolve during the medieval period? During the medieval period, royal courts began to resolve disputes uniformly, developing consistent legal principles and establishing precedents that formed the foundation of the common law. What role did the Magna Carta play in the development of common law? Signed in 1215, the Magna Carta limited royal authority and laid down principles of justice, influencing the development of common law by emphasizing rights and the rule of law. How did the common law spread beyond England? Through colonization and the British Empire, the common law was exported to countries like the United States, Canada, Australia, and India, shaping their legal systems. What is the significance of judicial precedent in the common law system? Judicial precedent, or *stare decisis*, ensures consistency and stability by requiring courts to follow previous decisions when ruling on similar cases, a cornerstone of common law. How has the common law adapted to modern legal challenges? The common law has evolved through judicial interpretation and legislative reforms to address contemporary issues such as digital privacy,

human rights, and environmental law. What are some key differences between common law and civil law systems? Common law relies heavily on case law and judicial decisions, whereas civil law systems are based on codified statutes and comprehensive legal codes. Why is understanding the history of common law important today? Understanding its history helps contextualize current legal principles, appreciate the development of justice systems, and recognize the influence of centuries of legal tradition on modern law.

Related keywords: common law, legal history, judicial system, legal principles, medieval law, case law, legal evolution, English law, law development, legal tradition

Read the full article online: [A Concise History Of The Common Law](#)